

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
LAHARPE TELEPHONE COMPANY,)
INC., and UNITED STATES)
CELLULAR OPERATING COMPANY) No. 12-0608
OF CHICAGO, LLC, USCOC OF)
CENTRAL ILLINOIS, LLC,)
USCOC OF ILLINOIS RSA #1,)
LLC, USCOC OF ILLINOIS RSA)
#4, LLC, USCOC OF)
ROCKFORD, LLC,)
Petitioner,)
)
)
Joint petition for)
approval of amendment of)
interconnection agreement)
pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252)
(E).)

Chicago, Illinois
January 7, 2013

Met, pursuant to adjournment, at 1:10 p.m.,
in Conference Room S-801, 160 North LaSalle Street,
Chicago, Illinois.

BEFORE:
Ms. Katina Baker, Administrative Law Judge

1 APPEARANCES:

2 MEYER CAPEL,
(306 West Church Street,
3 Champaign, Illinois 61826-6750,
(217) 352-0300),

4 MR. JOSEPH D. MURPHY,
jmurphy@meyercafel.com,

5 for the Petitioner,

6 ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION,
(160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800,
7 Chicago, Illinois 60601-3104,
(312) 793-2877),

8 MS. CHRISTINE ERICSON,
cericson@icc.illinois.gov,

9 for the Staff.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

I N D E X

WITNESS	DX	CX	RDX	RCX	By Judge
---------	----	----	-----	-----	----------

None.

S T A F F E X H I B I T S

NUMBER	MARKED FOR ID	IN EVIDENCE
--------	---------------	-------------

1	5	6
---	---	---

1 JUDGE BAKER: Pursuant to the authority of the
2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
3 12-0608, LaHarpe Telephone Company, Inc., and United
4 States Cellular Operating Company of Chicago, LLC.
5 This is a joint petition for approval of an amendment
6 of an interconnection agreement.

7 Will the parties please state their
8 name for the record?

9 MR. MURPHY: On behalf of LaHarpe Telephone
10 Company, Inc., this is Joseph D. Murphy, 306 West
11 Church Street, Champaign, Illinois 61820.

12 MS. ERICSON: On behalf of commission staff,
13 Christine Ericson, 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite
14 C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

15 JUDGE BAKER: Okay.

16 Has staff had an opportunity to review
17 the amendments?

18 MS. ERICSON: Yes, your Honor. Staff has filed
19 and served the verified statement of A. Olusanjo
20 Omoniyi. Mr. Omoniyi recommends approval of
21 amendment one to the traffic termination agreement
22 finding nothing discriminatory and nothing against

1 the public interest. It's subject to the usual
2 post-approval requirements that LaHarpe modify its
3 tariffs to reference the amendment for each service
4 affected and that LaHarpe file a verified statement
5 with the ICC clerk's office so that the commission
6 can put this amendment on the commission website.

7 In view of that, I will identify the
8 verified statement of A. Olusanjo Omoniyi as found on
9 commission e-docket as Staff Exhibit 1 and move that
10 it be admitted in record evidence. I note for the
11 record, that it was filed on December 18, 2012, at
12 2:13 p.m. The tracking number is 145523. Thank you.

13
14 (WHEREUPON, the document was
15 marked Staff Exhibit 1 for
16 identification, as of 1/8/13.)

17
18 JUDGE BAKER: Is there any objection?

19 MS. ERICSON: No objection from LaHarpe
20 Telephone Company.

21 JUDGE BAKER: Okay.

22 Let the record reflect that staff

1 Exhibit 1 is entered into the record.

2

3 (WHEREUPON, the document marked
4 Staff Exhibit 1 for identification
5 was admitted into evidence.)

6

7 JUDGE BAKER: Is there anything further from
8 any of the parties?

9 MS. ERICSON: Nothing further from staff.

10 MR. MURPHY: Nothing further from LaHarpe
11 Telephone Company.

12 JUDGE BAKER: Okay.

13 The record will be marked heard and
14 taken.

15 MS. ERICSON: Thank you.

16 MR. MURPHY: Thank you.

17 JUDGE BAKER: Thank you.

18

19 (END OF PROCEEDINGS.)

20

21

22